PRESENT: COUNCILLOR MRS C A TALBOT (CHAIRMAN)

Lincolnshire County Council


Councillors Mrs S Woolley (Executive Councillor for Health, Housing and Community) and Mrs P A Mathers (Executive Support Councillor for Health, Housing and Community) were also in attendance.

Lincolnshire District Councils

Councillors C J T H Brewis (South Holland District Council), Miss J Frost (North Kesteven District Council), Mrs R Kaberry-Brown (South Kesteven District Council), C Taylor ((Vice-Chairman) Boston Borough Council) and Mrs P F Watson (East Lindsey District Council).

Lincolnshire Local Involvement Network (LINk)

Preston Keeling.

Also in attendance

Brian Brewster (Director Finance and Performance, East Midlands Ambulance Service (EMAS)), Mike Casey (Programme Manager, Public Health), Sue Cecconi (Service Development Manager, NHS Health Check Programme), Kay Darby (Director of Nursing and Strategy, Lincolnshire Partnership NHS Foundation Trust (LPFT)), Jim Heys (Deputy Director of Strategic Planning and Health Outcomes, NHS Lincolnshire), Paula Holdsworth (General Manager Specialist Services, LPFT), Peter Howe (Head of Ambulance Commissioning, NHS Derby City and NHS Derby County), Pete Jones (Assistant Director of Operations, EMAS) Tony McGinty (Assistant Director of Public Health), Peter Ripley (Director of Operations, EMAS), Terri Roche (Assistant Director of Public Health, NHS Lincolnshire), Chris Slavin (Chief Executive, LPFT) and Catherine Wilman (Democratic Services Officer)

38. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE/REPLACEMENT MEMBERS

Apologies for absence were received from County Councillor Mrs M J Overton, District Councillors D Jackson (Lincoln City Council) and I Parrott (West Lindsey District Council)
39. **DECLARATION OF MEMBERS’ INTERESTS**

Councillor J D Hough declared a personal interest in relation to minute 47 and prejudicial interest in relation to minute 43 as his partner was a Non-Executive Director for Lincolnshire Partnership NHS Foundation Trust and he was a Member of the Trust.

Preston Keeling declared a personal interest as he was a Director of Holbeach and East Elloe Hospital.

40. **CHAIRMAN’S ANNOUNCEMENTS**

The following items were raised as part of the Chairman’s announcements:

i. **Local Involvement Network – New Chairman**

Early in September 2011, Preston Keeling had stood down as the Chairman of the Lincolnshire Local Involvement Network, which was referred to as the LINk. Following elections, Mr Barry Fippard was appointed as the new LINk Chairman. Preston would be continuing to represent the LINk on the Health Scrutiny Committee, while Barry Fippard would continue to represent the LINK on the Shadow Health and Wellbeing Board.

ii. **Care Quality Commission - Compliance Report on Pilgrim Hospital, Boston**

On 25th August 2011, the Care Quality Commission published its Compliance Report on Pilgrim Hospital. This report was the subject of an information item later on in the agenda.

iii. **NHS Lincolnshire Stakeholder Engagement on Any Qualified Provider**

In January 2011, the Health Scrutiny Committee responded to a Government consultation on extending the choice of provider within the NHS. This was called *Liberating the NHS: Greater Choice and Control*. NHS Lincolnshire was currently undertaking a brief stakeholder survey on *Extending the Choice of Provider*. The questions in this latest survey mirrored the questions in the earlier Government consultation. Therefore a response had been sent to this short survey by the Chairman, based on the response, which the Committee made earlier in the year to the Government consultation.

iv. **Safe and Sustainable – Children’s Heart Surgery Review**

Since the last meeting of the Committee, three substantial documents had been published in relation to the Children’s Heart Surgery Review:

- On 5th August, the NHS Specialised Commissioning Team published a *Health Impact Assessment: Interim Report* (98 pages), which the Specialised Commissioning Team stressed was an independent report. This report concluded that concentrating children’s heart surgery expertise onto fewer
sites would benefit patients. The Health Impact Assessment: Final Report, which would take account of the outcomes of the public consultation, would be published in October 2011.

- Also published in early August was the NHS Specialised Commissioning Team’s document called: Safe and Sustainable: Consultation Events – A Summary Report (59 pages). This report summarised the findings of all the consultation events, which had taken place nationally throughout the four month consultation period.

- On 24th August 2011, MORI published a detailed report (115 pages) on the public consultation. This was a factual report, detailing the findings from the 74,191 consultation responses. The Committee approved its response in June 2011. The report did not make any recommendation in terms of which of the four options should be selected.

As reported to the Committee in July, the Royal Brompton and Harefield Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust successfully applied for a judicial review of the consultation process. It is understood that the High Court would consider the judicial review “later in the year”, although this did not appear to be holding up the publication of the final consultation report in October 2011.

v NHS Lincolnshire Draft Legacy Document

NHS Lincolnshire, as a primary care trust cluster, was required to prepare a “legacy document”. The purpose of a legacy document was to ensure that handover arrangements were in place to enable a smooth transition to the various organisations, which were going to take on NHS Lincolnshire’s roles. The document was to be revised regularly over the following eighteen months and NHS Lincolnshire’s latest draft, dated 5th September 2011, which comprised 84 pages, had been submitted to the East Midlands Strategic Health Authority. The document was available on NHS Lincolnshire’s website.

vi Peterborough and Stamford Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

At its July meeting, the Committee had discussed Peterborough and Stamford Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust. Following the meeting, the Chairman had written to both the Care Quality Commission and to Monitor, which regulated the financial arrangements for foundation trusts.

The Chairman reported that she was still awaiting a reply from the CQC, but Monitor had replied on the 9th August, confirming that the Trust had a financial risk rating of 1 (where 1 is the highest risk and 5 is the lowest risk). Monitor was also undertaking “enhanced monthly monitoring” to track the Trust’s performance and would be meeting the Trust’s Board regularly.

On 7th September, the Trust wrote to the Peterborough and Lincolnshire LINKs, responding to a series of questions, including a question on the impact of the turnaround plan on front line service delivery.
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The Trust’s Annual Public Meeting was due to take place on Thursday, 22nd September, 2011 at 4.45 pm at Peterborough City Hospital. The Chairman confirmed that Councillor Martin Trollope-Bellew would be attending on behalf of the Health Scrutiny Committee.

On 29th September, the Trust was due to participate in an event organised by Peterborough LINk, where more information could be shared on the content of the Turnaround Plan. The Health Scrutiny Committee would be represented by Councillor Mrs Kaberry-Brown at this invitation-only event.

vii Joint Strategic Needs Assessment – Peer Challenge Event

Also on 29th September, the Joint Directorate of Public Health was due to hold a peer challenge event from 9.30 am to 5.00 pm in the Show Room Conference Centre in Tritton Road, Lincoln. The Committee was asked if a volunteer could attend the event on behalf of the Committee.

viii Meeting with Andrew North, Chief Executive of United Lincolnshire Hospitals NHS Trust

On 2nd September, the Chairman had a meeting with Andrew North, the Chief Executive of United Lincolnshire Hospitals NHS Trust. Several matters had been discussed, but in particular the Trust’s development of its Transformation Programme, which would be the subject of an item at the Committee’s October meeting.

ix Mock Health Scrutiny Committee

A series of training events had been organised for NHS Lincolnshire’s Public Health staff, who would be forming part of a joint Directorate of Public Health within the County Council. The purpose of the events was to enable the staff to become familiar with the County Council’s Executive and Scrutiny arrangements. On 13 September, the Chairman, together with three other councillors, participated in a mock Health Scrutiny Committee. This involved public health staff presenting four papers to the meeting. These reports were excellent and the comments on these proposals would be reported to the next training session, a mock Executive, on 4th October.

x LIVES Fundraising by Dr Yvonne Owen

Dr Yvonne Owen, the medical director of LIVES, together with colleagues from LIVES, planned to climb Mount Kilimanjaro in Kenya later in September to raise money for LIVES. The Chairman had already written to Dr Owen to wish her well, but encouraged all members of the Committee to support her. Donations could be made on the Just Giving website - www.justgiving.com/YvonneOwen
As part of a series of “Smith Square” debates, the Local Government Association was holding an evening debate on public health on 2 November, 2011. The event, taking place at the LGA in Smith Square, London, was entitled: “After The Smoking Ban, Where Next for Public Health? To Nudge or To Shove”. The event involved a number of speakers, including Lord Howe, Health Minister, and Dr Clare Gerada, Chair of the Royal College of GPs. One member of the Health Scrutiny Committee, Councillor Mrs Marianne Overton, would also be a speaker at this event.

41. MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON 27 JULY 2011

RESOLVED

That the minutes of the previous meeting of the Committee held on 27 July 2011 be agreed as a correct record and signed by the Chairman, subject to a minor amendment being made on page eight.

42. NHS HEALTH CHECK

Consideration was given to a report and presentation on the NHS Health Check Programme. The Programme offered preventative checks to everyone aged between 40 and 74 years, to assess a patient’s risk of heart disease, stroke, diabetes and kidney disease. Where a health check identified a need, the patient would be offered an appropriate medical response and intervention. Those patients already listed on the Cardio Vascular Disease register would not be offered a health check, on the basis that they were already being regularly monitored by their GP and other healthcare professionals. The health check was not compulsory; patients were invited to participate with a letter from their GP surgery.

Local authorities would be expected to ensure NHS Health Checks continued as part of their responsibilities for public health, as set out in the Public Health White Paper.

The Health Check Programme in Lincolnshire was expected to meet its agreed targets for 2011/12. However, there were currently 11 GP practices out of 102, which were participating in the scheme. As health checks were a “Locally Enhanced Service”, it was the decision of each individual practice whether they wished to participate in the programme.

The eleven practices were listed in the report. Three of the practices were in Mid Kesteven, three in East Lindsey, and one each in Lincolnshire West, Skegness and Coast, Sleaford and District, South Holland and Welland. The Committee’s view was that this represented an inconsistency in provision.
All senior management within the NHS were aware of the service gaps and Councillor Mrs S Woolley had met with Sue Cecconi and Terri Roche to discuss ways of getting the GPs to engage. It was suggested that the new Patient Councils could also get involved.

The Chairman urged Members of the Committee to encourage their local GPs to become involved in the Health Check Programme in those instances where they did not currently participate. A list of non-participating GPs, including their full addresses, would be circulated to the Committee.

The Committee requested that Sue Cecconi and Terri Roche return in early 2012 to provide an update and thanked them for their presentation.

RESOLVED

That the report, presentation and comments made be noted.

43. SECTION 75 AGREEMENT – COMMISSIONING TRANSFER FOR SUBSTANCE MISUSE FUNDING

Consideration was given to a report which outlined the proposal to align the Lincolnshire Drug and Alcohol Action Team (DAAT) commissioning functions under one organisation, namely Lincolnshire County Council, to meet with imminent national changes. The transfer would proceed via a proposed agreement made under Section 75 of the NHS Act 2006, between NHS Lincolnshire and Lincolnshire County Council. The proposal was due to be considered by the County Council's Executive on 4 October 2011.

During discussion of the proposal, the following points were noted:

- Health and social care issues would be led by social care rather than health authorities;
- By moving over to the County Council, the costs of the service would be shared by all partners through a Memorandum of Understanding;
- The front line delivery of the service would not change and service users would not be affected;
- The services would be “outcome based” and would aim to ensure users would be helped through the whole treatment process;
- District Councils were involved in the process, although not directly;
- There was possible provision for separate drug and alcohol services.

RESOLVED

That the Committee support the following recommendations to the County Council’s Executive on 4th October 2011:

1. The transfer of the Drug and Alcohol Action Team commissioning function under one host organisation: Lincolnshire County Council;
2. A Section 75 arrangement be entered into to support the transfer of the function from NHS Lincolnshire to Lincolnshire County Council, to commission Drug and Alcohol Action Team services, which includes specialist community drug and alcohol treatment services and prison treatment services for offender healthcare;

3. The arrangement be underpinned with a revised Memorandum of Understanding across the Drug and Alcohol Action Team Partnership, to ensure that robust governance, management and risk sharing protocols are maintained;

4. Continue to work with NHS Lincolnshire to commission the elements of service provision that cannot be undertaken by the County Council under wider legislation.

44. HEALTH SERVICE OMBUDSMAN REPORT: CARE AND COMPASSION? TEN INVESTIGATIONS INTO NHS CARE OF OLDER PEOPLE

Consideration was given to a paper, which provided details of the Parliamentary, and Health Service Ombudsman report entitled Care and Compassion? – Ten Investigations into the Health Care of Older People, which had been published on 14 February 2011. Although none of the investigations featured in the report related to Lincolnshire residents, the Committee was provided with an indication of how local health trusts had responded to the report.

During discussion of the item, the following observations were made:

- There appeared to have been a change in emphasis, in recent years, in the way nursing staff cared for patients. The requirement to have a degree in order to enter the profession had changed the culture of nursing.
- Whilst the Pilgrim Hospital, Boston, had offered courses to nursing staff on how to be more caring, the impact of these courses on nursing care was not yet certain. There was a view that people could not be taught how to be caring, but nursing staff could be reminded of their responsibilities.
- The attitudes and manner of Reception staff also impacted on the overall patient experience;
- There was some discussion on a comment that in some cases hospitals were not giving family members the option to be with a patient at the time of death. In addition, several complaints had been received by the Patient Advice and Liaison Services (PALS) regarding hourly rounding, where it had been stated that this was not being carried out properly;
- The Committee agreed that the Chairman would write to the chief executives of the health trusts in Lincolnshire, seeking details of how they had responded to the issues in Care and Compassion? – Ten Investigations into the Health Care of Older People.
RESOLVED

1. That the report be noted;

2. That the Chairman write to the following requesting further details on how their organisations had responded to the issues raised by Care and Compassion? – Ten Investigations into the Health Care of Older People:

- the Chief Executive of NHS Lincolnshire;
- the Chief Executive of United Lincolnshire Hospitals NHS Trust;
- the Chief Executive of Lincolnshire Community Health Services;
and
- the Chief Executive of Lincolnshire Partnership NHS Foundation Trust.

45. QUALITY ACCOUNTS 2010-2011

Consideration was given to a report which provided information to the Committee on the Quality Accounts process for 2010-11. A Quality Account was an annual report from a provider of NHS services, which intended to give the public information on the range and quality of the services provided. Health scrutiny committees and local involvement networks were entitled to submit statements for inclusion in the final version of each local health provider’s Quality Account. The Health Scrutiny Committee for Lincolnshire and the Local Involvement Network has worked together preparing statements for the 2010-11 Quality Accounts. Weblinks to the final versions of published Quality Accounts for the Trusts in Lincolnshire had been included in the report.

It was reported that a statement had been submitted by the Health Scrutiny Committee on the Quality Account of Peterborough and Stamford Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, but this had not been included in the Trust’s published version of the Quality Account. This issue had been raised with the Trust.

Members of the Committee were requested to familiarise themselves with the Quality Account process, in case they were involved in the preparation of statements in future years.

RESOLVED

That the information presented, and the statements prepared in relation to the Quality Accounts be noted.
46. **WORK PROGRAMME**

Consideration was given to a report by Simon Evans (Scrutiny Officer), which provided the Committee with the opportunity to consider their work programme for the coming months.

RESOLVED

That the Work Programme be approved.

47. **LINCOLNSHIRE PARTNERSHIP NHS FOUNDATION TRUST: UPDATE ON SPECIFIC ISSUES**

Following a presentation at their meeting on 23 March 2011 by Chris Slavin, Chief Executive of Lincolnshire Partnership NHS Foundation Trust (LPFT), the Committee requested further information on two specific matters: an update on Children and Adolescent Mental Health Services and information on Offender Health Services. Consideration was given to a report which provided information on these two matters.

**Offender Health**

LPFT assumed responsibility for Offender Health Management Services on 1 April 2011. The service provides all forms of health care (both physical and mental health care) to adult offenders in Lincolnshire.

The Committee received a presentation from Paula Holdsworth (General Manager Specialist Services, LPFT) and Kay Darby (Director of Nursing and Strategy, LPFT). During the presentation and the discussion, the following points were noted:

- Offender Healthcare for HMP Lincoln (a closed prison) and HMP North Sea Camp (an open prison) had been transferred to LPFT in April 2011;
- The healthcare services offered to offenders included podiatry, dentistry, optometry, sexual health, physiotherapy and integrated drug treatment services;
- In terms of the operational management arrangements, there was a Head of Healthcare post, which would work across both prisons;
- There would be no continuity of care if a prisoner was relocated outside of Lincolnshire;
- Prisoners from Lincolnshire might be in prisons in other parts of the country, and prisoners from other parts of the country might be in the Lincolnshire prisons. For this reason, Offender Healthcare was funded via a national scheme, to ensure that the budget responsibility was met fairly;
- A Steering Group had been set up to oversee the service, which was chaired by Paula Holdsworth. There were focus groups within the prisons which prisoners could get involved with;
- The possibility of introducing a compulsory health check on prisoners was being investigated.
The Committee suggested that an update on Offender Health should be brought to the Committee at their meeting on 14 March 2012.

CAMHS

On 23 March 2011, the Committee was advised that Lincolnshire County Council would be contracting services of the order of £456,000 from CAMHS compared to a previous contract value of £1.2 million. The Chief Executive of LPFT had advised that the new arrangements had required the withdrawal of social work staff from the Trust’s community and in-patient teams and their relocation into the County Council’s own local children’s services teams. The Trust, therefore, no longer provided social care services for people under 18 years of age.

A presentation on the Children and Adult Mental Health Service (CAMHS) was received from Paula Holdsworth, General Manager Specialist Services, LPFT and the following points were noted:

- While the management model for CAMHS had been changed, the care pathways for children and young people had remained the same;
- The target waiting time for appointments for looked after children was four weeks. There was work being done to improve performance against this target;
- Some foster carers were not taking looked after children in their care to scheduled appointments and these instances were being reported;
- The effects of joint working would not become apparent for a number of months;

The Chairman thanked Paula Holdsworth for her presentations.

RESOLVED

1. That a progress report on Offender Health be considered as a potential future item in the Committee’s work programme;

2. That a progress report on CAMHS be considered as a potential future item in the Committee’s work programme.

48. CARE QUALITY COMMISSION – REVIEW OF COMPLIANCE – PILGRIM HOSPITAL BOSTON

It was noted that Councillor C Taylor left the meeting for the duration of the following item.

On 25 August 2011, the Care Quality Commission (CQC) published its report on the Review of Compliance at Pilgrim Hospital, Boston which followed the publication of an earlier report on the hospital in June 2011. The newly published report focused on four outcomes. The Trust was compliant with two of these four outcomes, but there was major concern with one outcome (the Management of Medicines) and a
moderate concern with another outcome (the Care and Welfare of People who Use Services)

The Committee was advised that the CQC was undertaking an investigation on behalf of the Secretary of State for Health on governance arrangements at the Trust and this report together with the CQC Review of Compliance report would be considered at a future meeting of the Committee.

RESOLVED

That the publication of the Care Quality Commission’s report on the Review of Compliance at Pilgrim Hospital, Boston be noted.

49. EAST MIDLANDS AMBULANCE SERVICE – FOLLOW UP ITEM

At their meeting on 27 July 2011, the Committee considered an item on the East Midlands Ambulance Service (EMAS) and requested further information on ambulance provision. Brian Brewster (Director Finance and Performance at EMAS), Jim Heys (Deputy Director of Strategic Planning and Health Outcomes, NHS Lincolnshire), Peter Ripley (Director of Operations at EMAS), Peter Howe (Head of Ambulance Commissioning for NHS Derby City and NHS Derby County) and Pete Jones (Assistant Director of Operations at EMAS) all attended for this item.

The Committee sought further clarification on several issues, which are set out below.

Call Virtualisation

Earlier in 2011, EMAS introduced ‘call virtualisation’ across its two ambulance control rooms in Nottingham and Lincoln. Call virtualisation meant that either control room could pick up a 999 ambulance call from anywhere in the East Midlands region. During the discussion of call virtualisation, the following points were noted:

- Following the introduction of call virtualisation, 999 calls were being answered within 4 seconds of them reaching the EMAS telephone system, with no extra personnel;
- A link had been established in June 2011 between the 999 and 111 services. This enabled 111 calls to be transferred to 999, if the call was found to be an emergency. Similarly, calls to 999 could be transferred to the 111 service, if the call was not an emergency.
- Comparisons between the 999 and the 111 services were currently being made. However, no data was available at this time. An examination into whether the 111 service was relieving the pressure on 999 would be done, when more information was available;
- There were several factors that had caused the increased volume of calls made to 999 over the last few years. These included a change in the demography, with people living longer; and the expectations of the consumer society, where people felt entitled to an immediate response.
Finance

It was reported that NHS Lincolnshire contributed £21.5 million to EMAS, but that it cost 10% more for EMAS to deliver the service in Lincolnshire. This represented an effective “cross subsidy” for Lincolnshire from the other ten primary care trusts in the EMAS region. The arrangements for funding EMAS between the primary care trusts had been agreed on a “fair share” arrangement across the region.

Ambulance Response Times

Ambulance response times only applied to Category A (life threatening) calls. For Category A calls, there was a target for an emergency response within 8 minutes in 75% of cases. This was referred to as Category A8.

For Category A calls, there was a target for a transport response within 19 minutes in 95% of cases. This was referred to as Category A19.

The EMAS response time performance for April – July 2011 for Category A8 and Category A19 were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cat A8 Performance – April July 2011 (Target 75%)</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NHS Lincolnshire Area</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincolnshire Division</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Midlands Region</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All England</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cat A19 Performance – April July 2011 (Target 95%)</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NHS Lincolnshire Area</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincolnshire Division</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Midlands Region</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All England</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During discussion of the ambulance response times, the following points were noted:

- The representatives from EMAS stated that a lot of work effort had been made to improve their response times and so far some improvements had been made. Regular meetings had taken place to discuss service improvements. However, the Committee was not satisfied that enough had been done to improve response times sufficiently, in particular for the administrative county of Lincolnshire.
- EMAS was in particular reviewing its mobilisation arrangements. Response times would be improved by having crews mobile and ready, for a speedier response.
• It was noted that two organisations: LIVES (Lincolnshire Integrated Voluntary Emergency Service) and Fire and Rescue First Responders operated in Lincolnshire, providing support to the statutory service. Where a first responder from one of these organisations attended an emergency, this would be included in the EMAS performance figures for Category A8, in accordance with national guidelines.

• The main concerns for the Committee were:
  - that the service in Lincolnshire was understaffed and did not have sufficient vehicles;
  - the operation and performance of EMAS was not effectively scrutinised by its own Board;
  - only two of the four recommendations from the Rapid Review Task and Finish Group which had taken place in March 2010 had been progressed;
  - the ambulance service within Lincolnshire had deteriorated since 2006, when the Lincolnshire Ambulance Service was merged with the East Midlands Ambulance Service.

The Committee indicated that further consideration would need to be given to the possibility of re-establishing an ambulance service for Lincolnshire and it was acknowledged that the Government’s support would be required to take this forward.

RESOLVED

1. That consideration be given to the possibility of re-establishing an ambulance service for Lincolnshire;

2. That the Committee be updated on EMAS at their meeting in February 2012.

The meeting closed at 4.10pm.